

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE  
COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL  
November 1, 2005  
3:00 PM - 4:30 PM  
A218C**

**MINUTES**

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, P. Bishop, L. Fairly, A. Serban, K. McLellan, T. Garey,  
B. Lindemann, E. Frankel, K. Molloy, P. Haslund, L. Auchincloss, M. Guillen

ABSENT: J. Sullivan, S. Ehrlich, J. Jackson

GUEST: L. Griffin, P. Naylor

**1.0 Call to Order**

1.1 Chairperson Jack Friedlander called the meeting to order.

1.2 Jack Friedlander introduced SBCC student Edgar Real who was visiting the Council to see if he would like to participate as a student representative.

1.3 Approval of the minutes of the October 4<sup>th</sup> and October 18<sup>th</sup> CPC meetings.

**M/S/C [Guillen/Garey] to approve the minutes of the October 4<sup>th</sup> meeting.  
Lynda Fairly and Esther Frankel abstained.**

**M/S/C [Guillen/Garey] to approve the minutes of the October 18<sup>th</sup> meeting.  
Tom Garey abstained.**

**2.0 Announcements**

**3.0 Information Items**

3.1 CPC agreed to *next* meet on November 29<sup>th</sup> (5<sup>th</sup> Tuesday of the month) instead of November 15<sup>th</sup>.

3.2 Agenda items for the next CPC meeting:

A. Review and complete the College Plan: 2005-08

B. CPP: Identification of Tier 2 items in CPP that should be pursued this year

a. Identifying and ranking of Tier 2 recommendations

- b. Strategies for conducting the evaluation of Tier 2 recommendations and, where appropriate, development of plans to implement the recommendations

In regard to item “B”, CPP: Andreea Serban indicated that CPC did identify the Tier 2 items in CPP at a May CPC meeting. She said she will e-mail the documents which identify these items. Dr. Friedlander said he did not recall CPC going through this process and, there is no process in place to plan for the evaluation and implementation of the Tier 2 items. Dr. Serban said the Council did agree on the Tier 2 items but has not reviewed the approximate 10 items that were identified as needing consideration. Dr. Friedlander said since these items apparently have been agreed upon as Tier 2 items, the Council will evaluate the list at the next CPC meeting and discuss the process for assessing the feasibility of implementing each of the Tier 2 items it recommends be pursued.

- 3.2 Jack Friedlander said that the college lacks clarity on the status of state funding for the construction of the SoMA building and the construction phase of the Drama-Music (D-M) building remodel. He said the construction for SoMA is dependent upon the passage of a state bond. As of today, the Speaker of the House, Mr. Nunez, plans to introduce legislation to place a K-Higher Education facilities bond measure on the November, 2006 ballot. If approved by the Legislature and the Governor to be placed on the November ballot and then by the voters, this bond measure would include the funds to construct the SoMA building and, if needed, the money to complete the remodel of the D-M building as well. Our first indication of the intent to support this proposed K-Higher Education facilities bond measure will be in January when the Governor submits his budget for next year to the state Legislature. We may not know for a few months or so, whether or not there are adequate funds in this year’s System budget to pay for the construction phase of the D-M remodel project. If so, the construction for this remodel could begin in Summer 2007. Similarly, if the proposed facilities bond measure is passed in November 2006, construction of the SoMA building could begin as early as Summer 2007.

Liz Auchincloss again questioned what is CPC’s role in facilities planning and budgeting. Jack Friedlander said that John Romo will discuss the answer to this question at an EC meeting that will take place prior to the November 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of CPC.

#### **4.0 Discussion Items**

##### **4.1 College Plan 2005-08 (Draft 10)**

- A. Review of proposed changes made by CPC and EC
- B. Revised timeline for completing the College Plan:
  - a. CPC recommendation to John Romo by December 6<sup>th</sup>.
  - b. Discussion of the proposed plan at Board Study Session: Dec. 7<sup>th</sup>
  - c. Plan submitted to Board of Trustees for final approval: Feb. 2006

- C. Review of new state accountability measures for community colleges and the relationship of these measures to the College Plan
- D. Incorporation into the College Plan goals and objectives to develop the institution's human resources, facilities, technology and finance plans

Andreea Serban told the Council that she participated in an accreditation visit at a community college last week and the outcome reinforced the importance to incorporate the accreditation standards into the College Plan. She said the college which she visited was criticized for having a lot of vague verbiage in the plan and that the plan was action oriented rather than outcome oriented. Dr. Serban said in our Plan we need to address that reality. She said we can have indicators that are action oriented but they still need to have some way of being measured.

The Council again reviewed the language to the Plan and made changes where agreed upon to do so. Barbara Lindemann offered suggestions for changes to the Plan which were recommended by the Planning and Resource Committee.

#### 4.2 Timeline for CPC to review college budget and planning items

- A. Strategies for meeting CPC timelines

This item was not specifically addressed.

#### 5.0 Adjournment

**On motion [McLellan/Guillen] the meeting was adjourned.**